



ATTITUDE OF REVIEWER

By Assoc. prof. Iliyana Garavalova, PhD, Institute for Bulgarian Language

„Prof. L. Andreychin“ - BAS

On dissertation thesis for the doctoral scientific degree in "Humanitarian Sciences" university education, "Philology" professional field, code 2.1.

Author of the dissertation: Snezhana Kocheva Aleksandrova

Thesis topic: Namings connected to wearings and their making (lexicosemantic characteristic).

The documentation for the following procedure for defending the dissertation work is in full compliance with the regulations by the law of scientific degrees and scientific names (3HCH3). Snezhana Aleksandrova is regular doctorant of the Section of Bulgarian dialectology and linguistic geography in the Institute of Bulgarian language "Prof. L. Andrejchin" - BAS in the period 2002 - 2005 with scientific head doc. d-r Mariyana Vitanova. Who is deducted with the right to defend, valid up to today (documentally proven) and during the whole period works actively on her dissertation, which is pointed out by the applied to the work biography, which include the most up to date researches having even vague connection to the analysed topic.

The interest to it is determined by the fact that in the case it is being talked for an exceptionally archaic base lexic from the main dictionary fond of everyone of the world, and the Slavic in particular, languages, which are naming the familiar since antiquity religion, because clothing is important bit of the human daily life since ancient times. Proof of this are the many researches belonging to different sciences (Ethnology, Culturology, Linguistic, Linguogeography etc.), which shine light on different aspects of the reviewed problem. In spite of this one wholesome lexicosemantic research in linguogeographical aspect for the naming system for wearings in the bulgarian speeches is still missing. To the rippen need of completing this task responds the developed scientific work, which shows its scientific actuality as well as the dissertability of the topic.

The dissertation given to me for a statement is meaningful and interesting, it is developed in detail, with a sense for the specifics of the problem from a linguistic standpoint. It is distinguished with scientific objectivity and shows serious theoretical preparation and knowledge in the analysis. With it the candidate demonstrates serious awareness of the given topic, which is shown by the excellent theoretical proving and from the respectful list of the used sources. The cited literature contains 393 articles, relating to not only the topic, but to the choosing of the most suitable method for its development, as it starts from the linguistic school

from Prague and its founders and comes to the most modern day researches in the sphere of interests of the author. Except for systematicity and methodicalness this is a proof for consistency and sincere interest on the topic, on which the candidate continues to work through the whole period for her deduction.

The structure of the work shows the reviewed problem in maximum detail and sequence, as simultaneously it meets the requirements for a research of scientific nature. The broad entry assists for the clear definition of the targets and the tasks, which is an excellent preposition for their correct and complete execution in the exposition and the demonstrated in it theoretical preparation of the candidate is determining for the correct choice of methodology, combining the analytical(descriptive), the synchrono-typological and the comparative method with the consistent application of the onomasiological(examining the nominative function of words as a result of the interaction between extra and intralinguistic factors), semasiological(reviewing the semantic volume and the semantic structure of the lexemes and the nature of the semantic connections between the namings in macro dialectological aspect) and the culturological approach and the usage of some quantitative indicators and the linguogeographical data.

It is followed by a chapter in which the question about namings, connected to wearings and their making, is examined in theoretical plan. It is divided in three parts relating to: the scientific approaches, methods, criteria and terminology for the research goals; cryptic analysis of the theoretical researches examining the common specifics and the territorial specifics of the Bulgarian dialect lexic; overview of the scientific (ethnological, sociocultural, linguistic, lexicogeographical) works on the dissertations topic. Furthermore the candidate motivates the called by her "compromise" inclusion of the namings for colours and the namings for wearings as an experimental attempt to use the signs of denotations for studying the designated and puts the researched object in the borders of a period, compromising the time from the end of XIX century to the 70s of XX century, with manifestations of the present. This theoretical chapter from the work leaves excellent impressions, as because of it is obvious that the author is informed with enough many bulgarian and foreign language works about the topic, which are displayed chronologically and compromising a serious period of time and also that it is about not only the referative display of the read. Each of the distinct in the theoretical chapter parts ends with specific conclusions about which is benefiting for the goals and the tasks of the dissertation work, which is evident for that the dissertant interprets what she has read critically and with understanding, has her own position, which she can defend with scientific arguments i.e. that she owns the qualities of a serious researcher of the Bulgarian language.

Logically with the largest volume is the third chapter, which is essential for the dissertation work. There based on the onomasiological approach, in which every way of naming a specific object from extralingual reality is pointed out, a thematic classification is being done on over 1673 Bulgarian dialect lexical units. The researched lexical material for the workday and holiday traditional wear and the accessories connected to it is personally collected from the dissertant in result of a field work in Gela village, Shirokolashki district(I would like to highlight again that especially figurative from dialectological standpoint), from the archive of the County historical museum - Smolqn and the archive of the Bulgarian dialect dictionary to the Section of Bulgarian dialectology and linguistic geography with the Institute of Bulgarian language "prof. Lyubomir Andrejchin", as from published sources - dialectological dictionaries and monographical researches, lexical maps, ethnological researches etc., created in a period of over a hundred years and is classified in six groups: common namings for wearings; namings for upper womans wearing; namings for mens upper wearing; namings for shoes and footwear(with three subgroups); namings for accessories (with three subgroups) and namings for coulours of wearings, footwear and accessories, as in each one of them are analysed the lexico-semantic and etymological features and the geographical spread of all lexemes is pointed. The common characteristics that determine the place of the namings and their lexicosemantic development are summarized with conclusions for each thematic group and in the analysis of each of those groups is obviously a requisition for a serious linguistic researcher's potential.

Of course to every object of scientific research the different specialists in the sphere can find a different point of view, can define different accents, can choose different theoretical approaches, can find support in different authorities benefitting their thesis and to contest the statements of others with scientific arguments, this is the essence of the scientific work. Despite this an author's right is to choose the direction, the range of his research and the sources of different characters which to refer. This is why again I would like to highlight that the dissertant shows enviable awareness over the topic of her work, excellently wields the terminological apparatus, outlines clearly her tasks and the targets and completes them successfully, for which she deserves admiration. Considering a future publication of the work I would support one of the spoken during the discussion proposals - to include the linguogeographical maps, which are cited in it. This would contribute to the visualisation of the areas of the analysed phenomena and, in this sense, for more clarity of the exposition.

The presented in printed type **abstract** responds comprehensively enough to the content of the dissertation, it is written tightly, as the requirements for similar genre texts are being met. The given contributions are shown objectively.

The doctorant has seven **publications**, three of which are published in editions of the Russian academy of sciences, two are published in prestigious scientific series of the Plovdiv university “Paisij Hilendarski” and two of them in peer-reviewed collections from scientific forums with international participation. The publications on the topic of the dissertation are contributive in scientific aspect and exceeding twice the number of requirements in this type of procedures.

In conclusion I will point out that Snezhana Kocheva Alexandrova has displayed one deep research in the lexicosemantic aspect of the namings. connected to the wearings and their making. The work is without a doubt with scientifically applied contribution in the bulgarian linguistics. Because of this I confidently propose for her to be awarded with the educational and scientific title “doctor” in the sphere of the higher education “Humanitarian sciences”, professional directive “Fiology”, code 2.1.

30.09.2020

Sofia

REVIEWER

Assoc. prof. Iliyana Garavalova, PhD